UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/808,723	03/14/2001	James D. Bennett	00B013	5519
CHRISTOPHER C. WINSLADE 500 WEST MADISON ST., 334 TH FLOOR			EXAMINER	
			RUDY, ANDREW J	
CHICAGO, IL 60661			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3687	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			03/14/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Interview Summary	09/808,723	BENNETT ET AL.				
mierview Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Andrew Joseph Rudy	3627				
All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):						
(1) <u>Andrew Joseph Rudy</u> .	(3)					
(2) Mr. Ronald H. Spuhler (Reg. No. 52,245).	(4)					
Date of Interview: 29 February 2008.						
Type: a)⊠ Telephonic b)⊡ Video Conference c)⊡ Personal [copy given to: 1)⊡ applicant 2)⊡ applicant's representative]						
Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes e) No. If Yes, brief description:						
Claim(s) discussed: <u>1-24</u> .						
Identification of prior art discussed: 6,985,886; 6868,401; 6,226,624; 5,765,144 & 6,405,181.						
Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. g) was not reached. h) N/A.						
Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Mr. Spuhler convincing pointed out the inherent defeciencies from the art of record and that claims 1-24 are allowable as presented. If new art is found either a 102 and/or 103 rejection will be applied. If the new art would require an amendment to the claims, Applicant will be notified of such in order to amend the claims to read over the new art. (A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.) THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.						
Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an	/Andrew Joseph Rudy/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 36 Examiner's signature, if requi					